

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,886	01/29/2004	Peter Lisec	4301-1081	2301
466 7590 02/21/2007 YOUNG & THOMPSON 745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202			EXAMINER	
			WARD, JESSICA LEE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1733	
			•	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/765,886	LISEC, PETER
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Jessica L. Ward	1733
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/30 This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Application 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) 11-13 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplication and or on the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath of the oath of the oath of the oath of	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seetion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the drawing(s) is objected to by the Edrawing(s) is objected to by the	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 'No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te

Application/Control Number: 10/765,886 Page 2

Art Unit: 1733

DETAILED ACTION

RCE

1. The request filed on 1/30/07 for a RCE under 37 CFR 1.114 based on parent Application No. 10/765,886 is acceptable and a RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. <u>Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lisec (US 4369084, of record).</u>

Lisec teaches the claimed limitations:

- essentially vertical plates (1, 2)
- o conveyor means (9) for insulating glass sheet to be filled with heavy gas
- seal (11) in a space between the plates and aligned essentially vertically (column
 lines 64-66)
- seal (11) being between the plates and being adjustable solely perpendicular to a
 plane of the plates (column 1, line 64 column 2, line 10)
- sealing elements (11) on two vertical edges of the plates for sealing a space
 between the plates to the outside (column 1, lines 64-66 and column 2, lines 1-9);

*It is noted that the present claim language does not exclude the seal from also being a sealing element. Stated differently, the present claim language is not limited to sealing elements that are distinct from, or in addition to, the seal.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,886

Page 3

Art Unit: 1733

4. <u>Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Halle (DE</u> 4315986, on-line translation attached to Foreign Patent).

Halle teaches the claimed limitations:

- essentially vertical plates (5, 6) (Figure 2)
- o conveyor means (26) for insulating glass sheet to be filled with heavy gas
- seal (10 or 12) in a space between the plates and aligned essentially vertically
 (Figure 3)
- seal (10 or 12) being between the plates and being adjustable solely perpendicular
 to a plane of the plates
- sealing elements (10, 12) on two vertical edges of the plates for sealing a space
 between the plates to the outside

*It is noted that the present claim language does not exclude the seal from also being a sealing element. Stated differently, the present claim language is not limited to sealing elements that are distinct from, or in addition to, the seal.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting

Application/Control Number: 10/765,886 Page 4

Art Unit: 1733

ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-10 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 4,369,084 to Lisec.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the '084 Patent encompass the limitations set forth in the claims of the present application.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 11-13 are allowed.

With respect to claim 11, the prior art fails to teach or suggest at least one seal oriented essentially vertically in a space between the plates, with the seal being movable solely perpendicular to the plane of the plates, and additional seals on two vertical edges of the plates for sealing the space between the plates to the outside.

With respect to claims 12-13, the prior art fails to teach or suggest the groove being provided in a central region of the plates. Note Lisec '084 teaches all of the limitations recited in these claims but the grooves/recesses for accommodating the seals 11 are located at the vertical edges of the plates (column 1, lines 64-66).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 1/30/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

to the sealing elements recited in claim 1.

9. On p. 6 of the remarks, Applicant argues that Lisec only discloses two seals 11 and the sealing elements associated with the top horizontal edges of the plates (13, 15) do not correspond

As stated above, the present claim language does not exclude the seal from also being a sealing element. Stated differently, the present claim language is not limited to sealing elements that are distinct from, or in addition to, the seal. Therefore, the seals 11 of Lisec also read on Applicant's claimed sealing elements.

10. On p. 7 of the remarks, Applicant argues that Lisec does not teach a groove that runs essentially vertically as recited in claim 2.

The Examiner points out that Applicant has misinterpreted Lisec because the reference does in fact teach such a groove/recess (column 1, lines 64-66).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessica L. Ward whose telephone number is 571-272-1223. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri between 9AM and 6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard D. Crispino can be reached on 571-272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,886

Art Unit: 1733

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jessica L. Ward Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733

Jessia Ward